

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,456	SRIWONGJANYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615

All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Nicholas P. Chiara

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) incorporate the amounts of water-insoluble polymer, channeling agent and emulsifier into claim 49; 2) incorporate the limitations of claims 18, 23, and 31 into claim 49; 3) delete the limitation "water soluble" for the core, and the limitation "optionally" for the emulsifier in claim 49; 4) cancel claims 1 and 3-11; and 5) amend claim 64 to include the amended limitations of claim 49. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment..